

1 DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577  
2 TIMOTHY J. FRANKS, Bar No. 197645  
3 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463  
4 FAR SCHAD FARZAN, Bar No. 215194  
5 **PERKINS COIE LLP**  
6 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400  
7 San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 344-7000  
Facsimile: (415) 344-7050  
Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com  
Email: TFranks@perkinscoie.com  
Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com  
Email: FFarzan@perkinscoie.com

8 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12

13 CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a  
INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD  
14 STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others  
similarly situated,

**Plaintiffs,**

V.

17

GOOGLE INC.,

## Defendants.

CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW

**DECLARATION OF DAVID T.  
BIDERMAN IN SUPPORT OF JOINT  
STIPULATION TO CHANGE THE  
TIMES SET IN THE COURT'S  
AMENDED DECEMBER 8, 2008 CASE  
MANAGEMENT ORDER**

Judge: Honorable James Ware

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

23

20

21

28

**DECLARATION OF DAVID T. BIDERMAN  
CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW  
41063-0023/LEGAL15134989.3**

1 I, David T. Biderman, declare as follows:

2       1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in all of the courts of the State of  
3 California and this Court, and am an attorney with the law firm of Perkins Coie LLP, counsel for  
4 defendant Google Inc. ("Google") in this action. I submit this declaration in support of the Joint  
5 Stipulation to Change the Times Set in the Court's Amended December 8, 2008 Case  
6 Management Order. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below. If called upon to  
7 testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters set forth herein.

8       2. Since the Court's issuance of its Amended December 8, 2008 Case Management  
9 Order, the parties have made diligent efforts to settle this action, including two face-to-face  
10 meetings.

11       3. During the last meeting this week, the parties believed that the discussions had  
12 progressed to such a point that they agreed, subject to the Court's approval, to a 45-day  
13 moratorium for all litigation activities so that they can focus on attempting to settle this action.

14       4. Any settlement of this putative class action will necessarily be complex. The  
15 parties believe that they will be able to work out a settlement in the near term only if they are  
16 able to direct all efforts to reaching a resolution.

17       5. The parties are requesting only a 45-day continuance of the dates set for in the  
18 Amended December 8, 2008 Case Management Order. Those dates have not been previously  
19 modified. This short continuance will not affect other dates because this Court has not set a new  
20 date for the class certification hearing or for trial. Previously, in its October 2, 2008 Order  
21 Continuing Case Management Conference, this Court vacated the November 21, 2008 hearing  
22 date for Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification.

23       I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the  
24 foregoing is true and correct.

25       Executed this 8th day of January, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

26

27

28

/S/

David T. Biderman

- 2 -